

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A61K 7/40	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/51273 (43) International Publication Date: 19 November 1998 (19.11.98)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/09753</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 12 May 1998 (12.05.98)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 60/046,287 12 May 1997 (12.05.97) US</p> <p>(63) Related by Continuation (CON) or Continuation-in-Part (CIP) to Earlier Application US 60/046,287 (CIP) Filed on 12 May 1997 (12.05.97)</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SAGE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [US/US]; 5408 Interstate Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71109 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): CHEN, Jivn-Ren [US/US]; 7614 Brook Haven Way, Shreveport, LA 71105 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agent: CHAN, Albert, Wai-Kit; Cooper & Dunham LLP, 1185 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>
(54) Title: TOPICAL SPRAY FOR BURN TREATMENT AND ANTI-INFECTION		
<p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>This invention relates to a topical spray preparation for burn treatment and microbial infections on human being or animals. This non-aerosol preparation contains an antimicrobial drug, i.e., silver sulfadiazine, as is dispersed or solubilized in a cream or lotion base matrix which can be sprayed directly from a common trigger spray device. The key component of the matrix can be characterized by it having a suitable molecular weight polymer of cross-linked acrylic acid, such as Carbomers or non-ionic surfactants such as polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, or any combination of the above materials.</p>		

TOPICAL SPRAY FOR BURN TREATMENT AND ANTI-INFECTION

This application claims priority of U.S. Provisional Application Number 60/046,287, filed May 12, 1997, the content of which is incorporated by reference into this application.

5

Background of the Invention

10 This invention relates to a topical spray preparation for burn treatment and microbial infections on human being or animals. This non-aerosol preparation contains an antimicrobial drug, i.e., silver sulfadiazine, as is dispersed or solubilized in a cream or lotion base matrix which can be sprayed directly from a common trigger spray device. The key component of the matrix can be
15 characterized by it having a suitable molecular weight polymer of cross-linked acrylic acid, such as Carbomers or non-ionic surfactants such as polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, or any combination of the above materials.

20 A wound may be defined as a defect or break in the skin that outcomes from physical, mechanical or thermal damage including burns. There are several different types of burns: thermal, chemical, electrical, and those caused by radiation. Deep dermal (second degree) and full thickness
25 (third degree) burns, in which most of the protective epithelium layer and almost all of the element of the skin are destroyed, can rapidly acquire bacteria and cause infection. Infection in the burn wound is the most common management problem in the care of the burn patient. The
30 infection in a major burn could, if untreated, can rapidly develop into a life-threatening septicaemia.

Silver sulfadiazine was first described in 1943 by Wruble (M. Wruble, J. Am. Pharm. Ass. 32, 80, 1943) and was found
35 to be mildly antiseptic. Fox (Ch. L. Fox, Arch. Surg. 96,

-2-

184, 1968) rejuvenated the compound for the topical treatment of burns. The 1% w/w of this active drug, in its cream form, has been in clinical use in the U.S.A. since 1973. It is one of only two approved active drugs used as a topical antibacterial agent for adjunctive therapy in second and third degree burns.

U.S. Patent Number 3,761,590 describes a process for preparing a thick cream ointment containing silver sulfadiazine which is useful in burn treatment. It has been clinically well known that silver sulfadiazine is effective against a wide variety of gram-positive and gram-negative organisms, including *Pseudomonas* and *Candida*. However, the activity of the silver sulfadiazine, in the cream form, may be influenced by the following key factors: (1) the release rate of active from the cream matrix in the wound environment; (2) particle size and solubility of the active drug in the fluids of the wound bed; and (3) stability of the active in the cream matrix. In addition, the cream product contains poor water soluble fat and oil materials, making it mandatory that the treated area must be cleaned and the cream must be removed from the wounded area, which is time consuming and excruciatingly painful.

Presently, these cream base products can only be applied using only sterile techniques. Again, the task of this kind of drug administration is extremely time consuming and can allow for potential cross-contamination between patients due to the multiple use of the same container. The latter reason usually restrains the practitioner to prescribe this product to the patient, and to be used only in the clinical setting, not at home after each treatment. Therefore, the patient has to return to the burn center for a new application of the cream, even if the burn is minor. Accordingly, there is a definite desperate need in the art for developing an economical, less painful, easy

-3-

to apply, clean, and cross-contamination free formulation for a burn and antibacterial treatment.

5 U.S. Patent Number 5,143,717 presents a specific aerosol formulation of an antibiotic including silver sulfadiazine in a cream base as a topical water soluble foam. This invention provides several advantageous features over the presently marketed formulations while minimizing the disadvantages thereof. However, there are four key points
10 related to this invention that need to be clarified: (1) The manufacturing process requires special equipment for product aerosolization. (2) This aerosol product requires the interior of an aerosol can to be lined or have a protective coating in order to stabilize the active silver
15 compound. (3) The possible chilling effect on the sensitive wound area may impact the patient when sprayed. (4) The foam, when in contact with the patient, does not adhere to the wound area and it easily slides off, and the concentration of the antimicrobial agent at that location
20 of treatment is diminished, and the addition of dressings are unable to properly cover and hold the antimicrobial foam in place. The currently marketed product instructions require the patient to have total coverage and contact of the product with the damaged site at all
25 times.

U.S. Patent Number 4,803,066 teaches a topical preparation comprises a synergistic mixture of an antimicrobial silver compound and an antimicrobial azole compound in
30 hydrophilic and hydrophobic ointment, tablet, pessary and aqueous gel. This invention describes a number of suitable gelling agents including polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene diol block copolymers (poloxamer) and no technology about the aqueous gel for trigger spray has
35 been mentioned.

This block copolymers are known as gelling agents for a

-4-

substantial amount of time. U.S. Patent Number 3,639,575 accomplishes the invention by the use of certain polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene block copolymers (poloxamer) as a matrix for silver ions in the preparation of aqueous gel compositions.

U.S. Patent Number 4,551,139 discloses an apparatus and method for spray application of a silver sulfadiazine cream to treat the burn. The cream is pushed toward an outlet in a collapsible bag, in which the cream is disposed by means of pressure applied to the exterior surface of the bag, and conveying the cream from the outlet to a sanitary spray nozzle by means of a compressed air operated sanitary pump. This is a complex system in terms of the manufacturing process and practical clinical application. This invention did not reveal the formulation of the specific high viscosity cream.

Summary of the invention

Accordingly, the purpose of the present invention is to provide a non-aerosol sprayable topical dosage form for the burn treatment and antimicrobial therapy for human being and animals, and to equip all the superior advantageous features over the presently used formulations while minimizing the disadvantages thereof. The advantages are, but not limited to the following:

10

- (1) easier application to the burn area;
- (2) cooling sensation to minimize the pain;
- (3) suitable active drug releasing rate from the cream matrix;
- (4) easier washing;
- (5) high stability of active drug; and
- (6) suitable efficacy and safety product.

15

The further object of the present invention is to use pharmaceutical acceptable ingredients to manufacture a non-aerosol spray cream, lotion or gel in a common trigger spray container. These formulations do not require propellants, high pressure and a special aerosol can.

20

The foregoing and additional objects are attained by providing a specific non-aerosol sprayable formulation of an antimicrobial agent or combination of antimicrobial agents dispersed or solubilized in a cream, lotion or gel that contains pharmaceutical polymers or non-ionic surfactant(s), humectant, preservatives and purified water.

25

30

Another object of this invention is to disclose a non-heating manufacturing processes for this non-aerosol sprayable cream, lotion or gel and the common trigger spray packaging device for this cream, lotion or gel.

35

Detailed description of the invention

5 This is an invention of an improved pharmaceutical dosage form for topical application to treat the burn wound and infections. This dosage form introduces an unprecedented application method for antimicrobial agent without propellants and high pressure in the container. The cream, lotion or gel packaged in a common trigger spray container will be firmly adhered to the burn or wound area as a regular cream does after it is sprayed out from the container. This dosage form can demonstrate tremendous advantages in the clinical application over the current marketed products in terms of financial aspects, as well as compliances both to practitioner and patient. The antimicrobial effect of silver sulfadiazine and chlorhexidine compounds have been clinically established. There are a great number of inventions using silver sulfadiazine as an antimicrobial agent in dosage forms, as well as in a variety of medical devices, but none so far has mentioned it in the non-aerosol spray cream, lotion or gel form. Chlorhexidine is a bisbiguanide antiseptic and disinfectant effective against a wide range of bacteria, some fungi and some viruses. It is used clinically in various preparations for various disinfecting purposes.

25 U.S. Patent Number 4,803,066 teaches a topical preparation comprises synergistic mixture of an antimicrobial silver compound and an antimicrobial azole compound to achieve synergistic antibacterial and antifungal effect. Accordingly, in one aspect, the present invention provides a pharmaceutical non-aerosol spray composition for topical application which comprises silver or zinc sulfadiazine or chlorhexidine salt selected from the group of hydrochloride, digluconate or acetate as antimicrobial agents. It can be used alone or in any combination. The silver or zinc sulfadiazine in the micronized form is present in an amount in the range of 0.5 to 5% by weight,

-7-

and chlorhexidine salt is present in an amount in the range of 0.05 to 10% by weight of cream, lotion or gel.

5 The antimicrobial agent or agents used in the present invention can be incorporated into a neutral hydrophilic matrix cream, lotion or gel. In a first preferred embodiment, the cream or lotion matrix for burn treatment and anti-infection is characterized by a polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers. In a second preferred embodiment, the burn
10 treatment and antimicrobial gel is characterized by high molecular weight polymer of cross-linked acrylic acid (Carbomer). Polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers are non-ionic surfactants widely used in pharmaceutical topical formulations and cosmetics primarily as emulsifying agents for water-in-oil and oil-in-water emulsions. It is
15 characterized in this invention as a base for non-aerosol trigger sprayable cream or lotion. Cross-linked acrylic acid polymer (Carbomer) employed to form the gel is an another object of this invention.

20 A particularly suitable base for non-aerosol spray is therefore a cream or lotion containing from 1 to 25% of polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, 3 to 40% of humectant and 0.1 to 1% of preservative or preservatives and the balance
25 to 100% being purified water. Aptly the polyoxyethylene alkyl ether can be one or any combination selected from the group consisting of polyoxyl 20 cetostearyl ether (Atlas G-3713), poloxyl 2 cetyl ether (ceteth-2), poloxyl 10 cetyl ether (ceteth-10), poloxyl 20 cetyl ether (ceteth-20), poloxyl 4 lauryl cetyl ether (laureth-4),
30 poloxyl 23 lauryl cetyl ether (laureth-23), poloxyl 2 oleyl ether (oleth-2), poloxyl 10 oleyl ether (oleth-10), poloxyl 20 oleyl ether (oleth-20), poloxyl 2 stearyl ether (steareth-2), poloxyl 10 stearyl ether (steareth-10),
35 poloxyl 20 stearyl ether (steareth-20) and poloxyl 100 stearyl ether (steareth-100). Suitable humectant can be one or any combination selected from the group consisting

-8-

of propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, sorbitol or glycerine. Suitable preservative is one or any combination selected from the group consisting of methylparaben, propylparaben, benzyl alcohol, benzoic acid, sodium benzoate, sorbic acid and its salt or phenylethyl alcohol.

Another suitable base for non-aerosol spray is a gel containing from 0.1 to 2.0% of Carbomer, 0.1 to 1% of alkaline solution, 3 to 40% of humectant and 0.1 to 1% of preservative or preservative as and the balance to 100% being purified water. Aptly the Carbomer can be one or any combination selected from the group consisting of Carbomer 934, Carbomer 940 or Carbomer 941. The suitable humectant, preservative and purified water for the gel are same as that in the case of cream or lotion.

This invention is demonstrated in detail with the following working examples and experiments which however should not limit this invention.

Examples and Experiments

Example 1.

25

Gel Formulation:

	Silver sulfadiazine, micronized	100 Gm.
	Carbomer 934	30 Gm.
30	Propylene glycol	400 mL.
	Strong ammonia solution	40 mL.
	Methylparaben	30 Gm.
	Purified water, USP q.s. to	10,000 Gm.

35 Disperse the Carbomer uniformly in about 40% of total amount of water. Add the ammonia solution gradually into the dispersion with agitation, a clear gel is formed. In

-9-

a separate container dissolve the methylparaben in propylene glycol and then disperse the micronized silver sulfadiazine in this solution to form a homogeneous suspension. Gradually add the suspension into the gel with agitation, an uniform white opaque gel will be obtained.

Example 2.

10 Cream or Lotion Formulation:

	Silver sulfadiazine, micronized	100 Gm.
	Poloxyl 2 cetyl ether	500 Gm.
	Propylene glycol	500 mL.
15	Methylparaben	30 Gm.
	Purified water, USP q.s. to	10,000 Gm.

Dissolve the methylparaben in about 80% of total amount of propylene glycol. Add the poloxyl 2 cetyl ether into this solution with agitation. In a separate processing container mix the 20% of the total amount of propylene glycol and part of purified water, and disperse the micronized silver sulfadiazine in the mixture to form an uniform suspension. Gradually add suspension into the first processing container with agitation until a homogeneous, soft, white cream is obtained. Pass the cream through a colloid mill and bring the mass of the batch up to the targeted quantity.

30 Example 3.

Trigger Spray Container:

The trigger spray device for packaging the gel in Example 1 or cream or lotion in Example 2 is the T S-800 Trigger Spray manufactured by Calmar Dispensing Systems (Watchung, N.J., U.S.A.). It is designed to spray an 8 inch diameter

-10-

pattern when set on the spray setting and sprayed from a distance of 8 inches. The same sprayer will spray in a 2 inch diameter pattern when set on the stream setting and sprayed from a distance of 8 inches.

5

Experiment 1.

Product Stability:

10 The product of Example 2 packaged in Example 3 has performed a stability study to evaluate its expiration date. The spray head is actuated to let the cream fill in the tube and spray head prior to be placed in the stability station.

15

Stability data are obtained from the actuated sample bottles stored at accelerated condition of 40° C for at least 3 months; at intensive light condition of ambient room temperature (ART) for 1 month and at ambient room temperature for up to 36 months. The results conclude that both physical and chemical data show that the product remains stable for 36 months upon storage at the ART condition. The statistic method of regression line and exponential curve for 95% confidence limit has been used for data analysis. The result from accelerated condition (40° C) supports the two years tentative expiration date for this product. The result from an actual long term ART (20° C - 25 ° C) condition further demonstrates that this product can actual have a 36 month expiration date.

20
25
30

Experiment 2.

Antimicrobial Zonal Inhibition Assay:

35 An anticicrobial potency test of non-aerosol spray cream from Example 2 was conducted to compare with that of leading commercial cream product. The antimicrobial zonal

-11-

inhibition assay was employed in this test. The student's t-test was performed to compare the antimicrobial potency of the two products against each strain of the bacteria. The difference was considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

5

The result of the test shows that the antimicrobial potency of non-aerosol spray cream is equivalent to that of leading commercial cream product, based on the zonal inhibition assay against *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus* (*Streptococcus*) *faecalis* and *Micrococcus* *leteus*.

10

Experiment 3.

15 Bioequivalent and Acceptance Study:

A randomized, two-site, double-blind, parallel-group clinical study was conducted to compare the bioequivalence of non-aerosol sprayable cream of Example 2 (cream A) and commercial leading cream product (cream B) and to evaluate the physical characteristics and patient/practitioner acceptance of cream A.

20

For post-treatment investigator evaluation overall data, cream A was evaluated as being more washable than cream B ($p = .0450$). At one site, the former was evaluated as both more washable ($p = 0.0167$) and more spreadable ($p = 0.0255$) than latter.

25

For patient/nurse subjective evaluation, overall data cream B was evaluated as more easily applied than cream A at the fourth ($p = .0393$) and fifth ($p = .0490$) applications. Similar results were noted at one site for the fourth ($p = 0.0020$) and fifth ($p = 0.0268$) applications, as well as when all six application evaluations were averaged ($p = 0.0265$).

30

35

-12-

At one site, cream A was evaluated as more easily removed than cream B when all six removal evaluations were averaged ($p=0.0089$).

5 At one site, cream A evaluated as having a cooler sensation than cream B at the fourth application ($p=0.0170$), and when all six application evaluations were averaged ($p=0.0404$). Near-significant results were noted for cream A in the overall data at the fourth application
10 ($p=0.0503$), and when all application evaluations were averaged ($p=0.0743$).

For laboratory overall data, patients using cream A had a statistically lower serum sulfadiazine post-treatment
15 level ($p=0.0485$). A near-significant result ($p=0.0524$) was noted for patients using cream A at one site. There were no significant differences between the treatment groups for urine silver or sulfadiazine concentrations.

20 The results for wound bacterial count did not show any significant differences for either treatment group.

Results of this study conclude that:

(1) cream A is bioequivalent to cream B.
25 Investigator evaluations of treatment response, infection control, equivalence, wound appearance and overall evaluation did not reveal any significant differences between the two treatments. Likewise, statistical analysis of
30 microbial testing of wound cultures did not produce any significant differences between the treatments; and

(2) in the patient/practitioner acceptance
35 evaluations, cream B was rated superior to cream A only in ease of application, while cream A was rated superior to cream B for washability, ease

-13-

of removal and patient perception of wound
temperature change.

-14-

What is claimed is:

1. A non-aerosol spray pharmaceutical topical dosage form for burn treatment and anti-infection on human being or animals comprises:
 - (a) antimicrobial agent or agents;
 - (b) trigger sprayable hydrophilic matrix; and
 - (c) trigger spray device.
2. A composition in accordance with claim 1, wherein the antimicrobial agent or agents are selected from the group consisting of chlorhexidine salts, and micronized sulfadiazine salts.
3. A composition in accordance with claim 2, wherein the pharmaceutical acceptable micronized sulfadiazine salt, presented an amount in the range of about 0.5 to about 5% by weight of cream, lotion or gel, is selected from the group consisting of silver and zinc salt.
4. A composition in accordance with claim 2, wherein the pharmaceutical acceptable chlorhexidine salt, presented an amount in the range of about 0.05 to about 10% by weight of cream, lotion or gel, is selected from the group consisting of hydrochloride, digluconate or acetate salt.
5. A composition in accordance with claim 1, wherein the trigger sprayable hydrophilic matrix comprises about 0.1 to about 25% of hydrophilic base, about 3 to about 40% of humectant, about 0.1 to about 1% of preservative and suitable amount of purified water to adjust to 100%.
6. A composition in accordance with claim 5, wherein the trigger sprayable hydrophilic base is about 0.1 to

-15-

about 2% of cross-linked acrylic acid polymer or polymers with about 0.1 to about 2% of alkaline solution or about 1 to about 25% of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether or ethers.

5

7. A composition in accordance with claim 6, wherein the cross-linked acrylic acid polymer is selected from the group consisting of Carbomer 934, Carbomer 940 and Carbomer 941.

10

8. A composition in accordance with claim 6, wherein the alkaline solution is about 25% of sodium hydroxide solution or strong ammonia solution.

15

9. A composition in accordance with claim 6, wherein the polyoxyethylene alkyl ether is selected from the group consisting of poloxyl 20 cetostearyl ether, poloxyl 2 cetyl ether, poloxyl 10 cetyl ether, poloxyl 20 cetyl ether, poloxyl 4 lauryl cetyl ether, poloxyl 23 lauryl cetyl ether, poloxyl 2 oleyl ether, poloxyl 10 oleyl ether, poloxyl 20 oleyl ether, poloxyl 2 stearyl ether, poloxyl 10 stearyl ether, poloxyl 20 stearyl ether and poloxyl 100 stearyl ether.

25

10. A composition in accordance with claim 5, wherein the humectant or humectants are selected from the group consisting of propylene glycol, polyethylene glycol, sorbitol and glycerine.

30

11. A composition in accordance with claim 5, wherein the preservative or preservatives are selected from the group consisting of methylparaben, propylparaben, benzyl alcohol, benzoic acid, sodium benzoate, potassium benzoate, sorbic acid, sodium sorbate, potassium sorbate and phenylethyl alcohol.

35

-16-

12. A method of manufacturing a non-aerosol spray cream of claim 9 comprises the following non-heating steps:
- (a) in a first processing container, dissolving the methylparaben in about 80% of total amount of propylene glycol;
 - (b) adding the poloxyl 2 cetyl ether into this solution with agitation;
 - (c) in a separate processing container, mixing about 20% of total amount of propylene glycol and part of purified water;
 - (d) dispersing the micronized silver sulfadiazine in the mixture (c) to form an uniform suspension;
 - (e) gradually adding suspension (d) into the first processing container with agitation until a homogeneous, soft, white cream is obtained; and
 - (f) passing the cream through a colloid mill and bringing the mass of the batch up to the targeted quantity.
13. A method of manufacturing a non-aerosol spray gel of claim 7 comprises the following non-heating steps:
- (a) dispersing the Carbomer uniformly in about 40% of total amount of water;
 - (b) adding the ammonia solution gradually into the dispersion with agitation to produce a clear gel;
 - (c) in a separate container, dissolving the methylparaben in propylene glycol and then dispersing the micronized silver sulfadiazine in

-17-

this solution to form a homogeneous suspension;
and

5 (d) gradually adding the suspension into the gel
with agitation to obtain an uniform white opaque
gel.

10 14. The cream of claim 9 or the gel of claim 7 which is
filled into a trigger spay container by general cream
or gel bottle filling procedures.

15 15. A method of treating burns, wounds and other topical
anti-infection of human being or animal host
comprises spraying the cream of claim 9 or the gel of
claim 7 from a trigger spray device onto the disorder
area.

20 16. A method of treating burns, wounds and other topical
anti-infection of human being or animal host
comprises pouring the cream of claim 9 or the gel of
claim 7 from a bottle, by removing the trigger spray
head, onto the disorder area.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/09753

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6) :A61K 7/40 US CL :Please See Extra Sheet. According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS														
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT														
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
A	US 5,284,833 A (MCANALLEY et al) 08 February 1994, see col. 3 lines 13-50, col. 7 line 30-33 and line 60-62. See example 1, table 1 and claims 3-5.	1-16												
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="0"><tr><td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td><td>*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td></tr><tr><td>*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td><td>*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td></tr><tr><td>*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td><td>*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td></tr><tr><td>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td><td>*A* document member of the same patent family</td></tr><tr><td>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td><td></td></tr></table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family	*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family													
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means														
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 02 AUGUST 1998		Date of mailing of the international search report 13 OCT 1998												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer <i>Diedra Faulkner</i> DIEDRA FAULKNER Telephone No. (703) 308-1235												

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/09753

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: US CL :

424/401, 78.06

B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched Classification System: U.S.

424/401, 78.06